## НОВІ МЕТОДИ В СИСТЕМНОМУ АНАЛІЗІ, ІНФОРМАТИЦІ ТА ТЕОРІЇ ПРИЙНЯТТЯ РІШЕНЬ

УДК 517.9

## GLOBAL ATTRACTOR FOR NON-AUTONOMOUS WAVE EQUATION WITHOUT UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTION

## G. IOVANE, O.V. KAPUSTYAN

In the paper the non-autonomous wave equation with non-smooth right-hand side is considered. It is proved that all its weak solutions generate multi-valued non autonomous dynamical system, which has invariant global attractor in the phase space.

**Introduction.** One of the main directions to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of non-linear problems is given by the mathematical physics through the theory of minimal attracting sets (global attractors). The topic methods of this theory and a great number of applications are described in [1-3]. This theory presents some generalizations in the cases of non-uniqueness of solutions [4-7] and also non-autonomous problems [8-11].

From this point of view, non-linear wave equation is difficult for studying because under conditions of global resolvebility it does not generate compact semigroup ( even with smooth non-linearity). Different variants of additional conditions on non-linear term, which provide the existence of global attractor in spite of non-compactness of semigroup are discussed in [1, 2].

In [7] it is suggested a new idea of verifying Ladyzheuskaya's condition ( or asymptotic semi-compactness condition ) in order to prove the existence of global attractor for wave equation without the restrictive conditions imposed in the non-linearity for uniqueness of solution. In this paper we use a similar approach in situations of non-autonomous problem.

Setting of the problem We consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \gamma u_t - \Delta u + f(t, u) = 0, \\ u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

$$|u|_{t=\tau} = u_{\tau}(x), \quad u_t|_{t=\tau} = v_{\tau}(x),$$
 (2)

where  $\gamma > 0$  is constant,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded domain with smooth boundary,  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and non-linear term f satisfies the following condition

$$f, f'_t \in C(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \liminf_{|u| \to \infty} \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} > -\lambda_1,$$
$$|f(t, u)| \le C \left(1 + |u|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right), \quad |f'_t(t, u)| \le \alpha(t) + \beta(t)|u|, \quad (3)$$

© G. Iovane, O.V. Kapustyan, 2006

Системні дослідження та інформаційні технології, 2006, № 2

107

where C > 0 is constant,  $\lambda_1 > 0$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\alpha(\cdot) \ge 0$ ,  $\beta(\cdot) \ge 0$  are given continuous functions from  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

We denote by  $|\cdot|, (\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $||\cdot||, ((\cdot, \cdot))$  the norm and scalar product in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  respectively.

Our aim is to study the asymptotic behaviour of  $\varphi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ u_t(t) \end{pmatrix}$  in the phase

space  $E = H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  on  $t \to \infty$  by the methods of the theory of global attractors of multivalued non-autonomous dynamical systems.

**Definition 1.** Function  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$  is called solution of (1) on  $(\tau, T)$ , if  $u(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(\tau, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $u_t(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(\tau, T; L^2(\Omega))$  and  $\forall \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbb{C}_0^{\infty}(\tau, T)$ 

$$-\int_{\tau}^{T} (u_t, \psi) \eta_t + \int_{\tau}^{T} (\gamma(u_t, \psi) + ((u, \psi)) + (f(t, u), \psi)) \eta = 0, \qquad (4)$$

where  $u_t$  denotes the distributional derivative with respect to t of u.

Note, that since  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is continuously embedded in  $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)$ , by (3) for every  $u \in L^{\infty}(\tau, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  we have  $f(t, u) \in L^2(\tau, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . Then for each solution  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$  of (1) from [2] we have  $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{C}([\tau, T]; H_0^1(\Omega)), u_t(\cdot) \in$  $\in \mathbb{C}([\tau, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \forall \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \ (u_t(\cdot), \psi) \in \mathbb{C}^1(\tau, T) \text{ and } \forall t \in (\tau, T)$  $\frac{d}{dt}(u_t, \psi) + \gamma(u_t, \psi) + ((u, \psi)) + (f(t, u), \psi)) = 0.$  (5)

Firstly we prove that under conditions (3) the problem (1), (2)  $\forall T > \tau$  $\forall \varphi_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tau} \\ v_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \in E$  has at least one solution on  $[\tau, T]$ , and each solution of (1), (2) (independently from the method of finding) satisfies certain energy equality (Lemma 5).

Note that there is no Lipschitz's condition on f with respect to variable u, so the problem (1), (2) is not necessary uniquely resolved.

Since f depends on t, solutions of (1), (2) do not generate semigroup, but under additional condition on f as a function of t we can construct non-autonomous analogue of semigroup.

For this purpose, following by [9], we consider the space  $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^2)$  of continuous vector-functions  $p(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1(\cdot) \\ p_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$  and equip it with a uniform convergence topology on each segment  $[v_1, v_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , that is

$$p_n \to p \text{ in } \mathbb{M} \Leftrightarrow \forall [v_1, v_2] \subset \mathbb{R} \sup_{\nu \in [v_1, v_2]} \left\| p_n(\nu) - p(\nu) \right\|_{\mathbf{R}^2} \to 0$$

It is known that with such topology  $\mathbb{M}$  is a complete metric space.

Further we consider the space  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{M})$  of continuous functions g(t),  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  with values in  $\mathbb{M}$ . It is also equipped with a uniform convergence topology on each segment  $[t_1, t_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$  that is

$$g_n \to g$$
 in  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M}) \Leftrightarrow \forall [t_1, t_2] \subset \mathbb{R} \sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \rho_{\mathbb{M}}(g_n(t), g(t)) \to 0$ 

It is known that with such topology  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$  is a complete metric space. For every  $g \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$  we put

$$H(g) = \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}:\mathbb{M})} \left\{ g(t+h) \,|\, h \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The function  $g \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$  is called translation-compact (tr.-c.) in  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$  if the set H(g) is compact in  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$ .

Our additional condition on function f, which we use to construct the nonautonomous dynamical system is the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f'_t \end{pmatrix} \text{ is } \text{tr.} - \text{c. in } \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M}).$$
(6)

As an example of the function f which satisfies (3), (6), we can consider  $f(t,u) = e^{-t^2}u + h(u)$ , where  $h \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R})$  (but not smooth),

$$\liminf_{|u|\to\infty} \frac{h(u)}{u} > -\lambda_1 \text{ and } |h(u)| \leq C \left(1 + |u|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right).$$
  
Then  $|f(t,u)| \leq \widetilde{C} \left(1 + |u|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right) \liminf_{|u|\to\infty} \inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{-t^2} + \frac{h(u)}{u}\right) = \liminf_{|u|\to\infty} \frac{h(u)}{u} > -\lambda_1,$   
 $|f_t'(t,u)| = \left|-2te^{-t^2}u\right| \leq 2|t|e^{-t^2}|u| \text{ and } \binom{f}{f_t'} \text{ is obviously tr. -c. in } \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{M}).$ 

We note, that in this example f and  $f'_t$  are not almost-periodic in Bohr sense. We denote

$$\Sigma = H \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f_t' \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (7)

From [9] we have that continuous shift group  $\{T(h): \Sigma \to \Sigma\}_{h \in \mathbb{R}}$ ,  $T(h)\sigma(t) = \sigma(t+h)$  acts on  $\Sigma$ .

Now we need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Each function  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  has the form  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g'_t \end{pmatrix}$ , and functions g,

 $g'_t$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$\liminf_{|u|\to\infty}\inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{g(t,u)}{u} > -\lambda_1, \ \left|g(t,u)\right| \le C\left(1+\left|u\right|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right), \ \left|g_t'(t,u)\right| \le \alpha_{\sigma}(t) + \beta_{\sigma}(t)\left|u\right|,$$

where  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{\sigma}(t) dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(t) dt , \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta_{\sigma}(t) dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta(t) dt .$  **Proof**. For each  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ l \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma$  according to (6) there exists sequence  $\{h_n\}$  such that  $\forall [t_1, t_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$   $\forall [v_1, v_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ sup sup  $(\int f(t + h_n, v) - g(t, v) + |f'_t(t + h_n, v) - l(t, v)|) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$ From this we can easy obtain  $l(t, v) = g'_t(t, v)$ . Since  $f(t + h_n, v) \leq C \left(1 + |v| \frac{n}{n-2}\right)$ , we have  $|g(t, v)| \leq C \left(1 + |v| \frac{n}{n-2}\right)$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\liminf_{|v| \to \infty} \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t, v)}{v} > -\lambda_1 + \varepsilon$ , we have  $\exists R > 0 \forall |v| \geq R \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \forall n \geq 1 \frac{f(t + h_n, v)}{v} > -\lambda_1 + \varepsilon .$ Since  $|f'_t(t + h_n, v)| \leq \alpha(t + h_n) + \beta(t + h_n)|v|$ , we have for  $h_n \to \infty$   $g'_t(t, v) = 0$  and for  $h_n \to h_0 |g'_t(t, v)| \leq \alpha(t + h_0) + \beta(t + h_0)|v|$ , where  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(t + h_0) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(t) dt$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta(t + h_0) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta(t) dt$ . Lemma is proved. Now we dip the problem (1), (2) into the family of similar problems:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \gamma u_t - \Delta u + g(t, u) = 0, \\ u_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u_{t=\tau} = u_{\tau}(x), \quad u_t|_{t=\tau} = v_{\tau}(x), \end{cases}$$
(1)<sub>\sigma</sub>

where  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g'_t \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma$ .

As functions g,  $g'_t$  satisfy the conditions (3), for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  the problem (1) $_{\sigma}$ , (2) $_{\sigma}$  is globally resolved for all  $\varphi_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tau} \\ v_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \in E$ . The main object which we consider in this paper is a family of multivalued maps  $\{U_{\sigma} : \mathbb{R}_d \times E \to 2^E\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_d = \{(t, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | t \ge \tau\}$  $U_{\sigma}(t, \tau, \varphi_{\tau}) = \{\varphi(t) | \varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_{\tau}(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$  is solution of (1) $_{\sigma}$ ,  $\varphi(\tau) = \varphi_{\tau} \}$ . (8)

For the family (8) our goal is to prove the existence in phase space E of minimal invariant uniformly attracting set — global attractor.

Elements of abstract theory of global attractors for multivalued nonautonomous dynamical systems. Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a complete metric space. We denote by  $P(X)(\beta(X))$  the set of all non-empty (non-empty bounded) subsets of  $X, \forall A, B \subset X$  dist $(A, B) = \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} \rho(x, y), O_{\delta}(A) = \{x \in X | \text{dist} (x, A) < \delta\},$ 

 $B_r = \{x \in X \mid \rho(x,0) \le r\}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be some complete metric space,  $\{T(h): \Sigma \to \Sigma\}_{h \in \mathbb{R}}$  be some continuous group acting on  $\Sigma$ .

**Definition 2.** The family of multivalued maps  $\{U_{\sigma} : \mathbb{R}_d \times X \rightarrow P(X)\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$  is called family of multivalued processes (*MP*) or non-autonomous multivalued dynamical system, if  $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ :

1)  $U_{\sigma}(\tau,\tau,x) = x \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R};$ 

2)  $U_{\sigma}(t,\tau,x) \subset U_{\sigma}(t,s,U_{\sigma}(s,\tau,x)) \quad \forall t \ge s \ge \tau;$ 

3)  $U_{\sigma}(t+h,\tau+h,x) \subset U_{T(h)\sigma}(t,\tau,x) \quad \forall t \ge \tau, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

The family of *MP* is called strict, if in conditions 2), 3) equality takes place. We denote  $U_{\Sigma}(t,\tau,x) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} U_{\sigma}(t,\tau,x)$ .

**Definition 3.** The set  $\Theta_{\Sigma} \subset X$  is called global attractor of the family of  $MP\{U_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma}$ , if  $\Theta_{\Sigma} \neq X$  and

1)  $\Theta_{\Sigma}$  is uniformly attracting set, that is

 $\forall B \in \beta(X) \ \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R} \ \operatorname{dist}(U_{\Sigma}(t,\tau,B),\Theta_{\Sigma}) \to 0, \ t \to \infty;$ 

2)  $\Theta_{\Sigma}$  is minimal uniformly attracting set, that is for arbitrary uniformly attracting set Y we have  $\Theta_{\Sigma} \subset \operatorname{cl}_{X} Y$ .

Global attractor  $\Theta_{\Sigma}$  is called semi-invariant (invariant) if  $\forall (t, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}_d$  $\Theta_{\Sigma} \subset U_{\Sigma}(t, \tau, \Theta_{\Sigma}), (\Theta_{\Sigma} = U_{\Sigma}(t, \tau, \Theta_{\Sigma})).$ 

**Lemma 2.** 1) If the family of  $MP\{U_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$\forall B \in \beta(X) \exists T = T(B) \bigcup_{t \ge T} U_{\Sigma}(t, 0, B) \in \beta(X), \tag{9}$$

$$\forall B \in \beta(X) \ \forall \{t_n \mid t_n \to \infty\} \ \forall \{\xi_n \mid \xi_n \in U_{\Sigma}(t_n, 0, B)\}$$
  
the sequence  $\{\xi_n\}$  is precompact in  $X$ , (10)

then there exists global attractor  $\Theta_{\Sigma}$ ,

$$\Theta_{\Sigma} = \bigcup_{\tau} \Theta_{\Sigma}(\tau) = \Theta_{\Sigma}(0), \qquad (11)$$

where  $\Theta_{\Sigma}(\tau) = \bigcup_{B \in \beta(X)} \omega_{\Sigma}(\tau, B), \ \omega_{\Sigma}(\tau, B) = \bigcap_{s \ge \tau} \overline{\bigcup_{t \ge s} U_{\Sigma}(t, \tau, B)}$  is compact in X;

2) if, additionally,  $\forall t \ge 0$  the map

$$X \times \Sigma \mathfrak{s}(x, \sigma) \to U_{\sigma}(t, 0, x) \tag{12}$$

has closed graph, then  $\Theta_{\Sigma}$  is semi-invariant;

3) if, additionally, the family of  $MP\{U_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma}$  is strict, then  $\Theta_{\Sigma}$  is invariant.

**Proof.** The properties 1), 2) directly derived from the result of [11]. Now we prove 3). From [11] we have the embedding  $\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B) \subset \subset U_{\Sigma}(t,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B)) \quad \forall B \in \beta(X) \quad \forall t \ge 0$ . So  $\forall p \ge 0 \quad U_{\Sigma}(t+p,t,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B)) \subset \subset U_{\Sigma}(t+p,t,U_{\Sigma}(t,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B))) = U_{\Sigma}(t+p,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B))$ . Then  $U_{\Sigma}(p,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B)) = U_{T(t)\Sigma}(p,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B)) = U_{\Sigma}(t+p,t,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B)) \subset U_{\Sigma}(t+p,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B))$ . From this for all  $p \ge 0$ , for all  $\tau \ge p$ 

$$U_{\Sigma}(p,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B)) \subset \bigcup_{k \ge \tau} U_{\Sigma}(k,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B)) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{k \ge \tau} U_{\Sigma}(k,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B))}$$

So,

$$U_{\Sigma}(p,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B)) \subset \bigcap_{\tau \geq p} \overline{\bigcup_{k \geq t} U_{\Sigma}(k,0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B))} = \omega_{\Sigma}(0,\omega_{\Sigma}(0,B)) \subset \Theta_{\Sigma}.$$

Therefore,  $\forall p \ge 0 \ U_{\Sigma}(p,0,\Theta_{\Sigma}) \subset \Theta_{\Sigma}$ .

Then  $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R} \quad U_{\Sigma}(p + \tau, \tau, \Theta_{\Sigma}) = U_{T(\tau)\Sigma}(p, 0, \Theta_{\Sigma}) = U_{\Sigma}(p, 0, \Theta_{\Sigma}) \subset \Theta_{\Sigma}$  and Lemma is proved.

**Properties of solutions of the problem (1), (2).** We put  $F(t,u) = = \int_0^u f(t,s) ds$ ,  $F'_t(t,u) = \int_0^u f'_t(t,s) ds$ . Then F,  $F'_t \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and according to (3) there exist constants  $\lambda < \lambda_1$ ,  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  which only depend on C > 0,  $n \ge 3$  and  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that  $\forall (t,u) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ 

$$|F(t,u)| \le C_1 \left( 1 + |u|^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} \right), \quad F(t,u) \ge -\frac{\lambda}{2}u^2 + C_2,$$

$$|F_t'(t,u)| \le \alpha(t)|u| + \frac{\beta(t)}{2}|u|^2.$$
(13)

In view of (13) for every function  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}([\tau, T]; E)$  we can cor-

rectly define the following functionals:

$$V(t,\varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} |u_t(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||u(t)||^2 + (F(t,u(t)),1),$$
  

$$I(t,\varphi(t)) = V(t,\varphi(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} (u_t(t),u(t)),$$
  

$$H(t,\varphi(t)) = (F'_t(t,u(t)),1) + \gamma (F(t,u(t)),1) - \frac{\gamma}{2} (f(t,u(t)),u(t)).$$

Lemma 3. The following properties take place:

1) functions  $(F(\cdot, u(\cdot)), 1)$ ,  $(F'_t(\cdot, u(\cdot)), 1)$ ,  $(f(\cdot, u(\cdot)), u(\cdot))$ ,  $(f(\cdot, u(\cdot)), u_t(\cdot)) \in \mathbb{C}([\tau, T]);$ 

2) if  $\{\rho_n(\cdot)\} \subset \mathbb{C}([\tau, T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$  and  $\forall t \in [\tau, T] \quad \rho_n(t) \to u(t)$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then  $\forall t \in [\tau, T]$ 

$$(F(t,\rho_n(t)),1) \to (F(t,u(t)),1), \quad (F_t'(t,\rho_n(t)),1) \to (F_t'(t,u(t)),1)$$

ISSN 1681–6048 System Research & Information Technologies, 2006, № 2

 $(f(t, \rho_n(t)), \rho_n(t)) \rightarrow (f(t, u(t)), u(t)).$ 

If, additionally,  $\{\rho_n(\cdot)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^1([\tau, T]; H^1_0(\Omega))$  and  $\forall t \in [\tau, T] \quad \rho'_n(t) \to u_t(t)$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , then  $(f(t, \rho_n(t)), \rho'_n(t)) \to (f(t, u(t)), u_t(t))$ .

**Proof.** In the proof of this Lemma and in all results, given below, we use the following version of the dominated convergence Lebesgue's Theorem: if for measurable functions  $\{\xi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ ,  $\xi$  we have  $\xi_n \to \xi$  a.e.,  $|\xi_n| < \eta_n$  a.e. and  $\eta_n \to \eta$  in  $L^1$ , then  $\xi_n \to \xi$  in  $L^1$ .

We consider the function  $(f(\cdot, u(\cdot)), u_t(\cdot))$  (for others one can apply the same arguments). Let  $t_n \to t_0$ . Then  $u(t_n) \to u(t_0)$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u_t(t_n) \to u_t(t_0)$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , so  $u(t_n, x) \to u(t_0, x)$  a.e.,  $u_t(t_n, x) \to u_t(t_0, x)$  a.e. Since  $f \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we obtain  $f(t_n, u(t_n, x))u_t(t_n, x) \to f(t_0, u(t_0, x))u_t(t_0, x)$  a.e. Moreover, in view of (3)  $|f(t_n, u(t_n, x))u_t(t_n, x)| \le C|u_t(t_n, x)| + C|u(t_n, x)|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}|u_t(t_n, x)|$ . As  $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset C = C L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)$ , we have  $u(t_n, x) \to u(t_0, x)$  in  $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}$ . Since  $u_t(t_n, x) \to u_t(t_0, x)$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , we easy obtain  $|u(t_n, x)|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}|u_t(t_n, x)| \to |u(t_0, x)|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}|u_t(t_0, x)|$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

Applying Lebesgue's theorem, we have  $f(t_n, u(t_n, x))u_t(t_n, x) \rightarrow f(t_0, u(t_0, x))u_t(t_0, x)$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and thus  $f(\cdot, u(\cdot))u_t(\cdot) \in \mathbb{C}([\tau, T])$ . Statement 2 can be proved in the same way. Lemma is proved.

As a consequence of Lemma 3 we immediately obtain that  $\forall \varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}([\tau, T]; E)$  functions  $V(\cdot, \varphi(\cdot)), I(\cdot, \varphi(\cdot)), H(\cdot, \varphi(\cdot))$  belong to  $\mathbb{C}([\tau, T])$ .

**Lemma 4.** For every  $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{C}([\tau, T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $u_t(\cdot) \in \mathbb{C}([\tau, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  function  $(F(\cdot, u(\cdot)), 1)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}^1(\tau, T)$  and  $\forall t \in (\tau, T)$ 

$$\frac{d}{dt}(F(t,u(t)),1) = \left(F'_t(t,u(t)),1\right) + \left(f(t,u(t)),u_t(t)\right).$$
(14)

**Proof.** From Lemma 3 it suffices to show that  $\forall [t_0, t_1] \subset (\tau, T)$  $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{C}_0^{\infty}(t_0, t_1)$ 

$$-\int_{t_0}^{t_1} (F(t,u(t)),1)\eta_t = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \left( F_t'(t,u(t)),1 \right) + (f(t,u(t)),u_t(t)) \right) \eta .$$
(15)

We can mollify u with respect to t to obtain a sequence  $\{\rho_n(\cdot)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^1([t_0,t_1];H_0^1(\Omega))$  with  $\rho_n \to u$  in  $\mathbb{C}([t_0,t_1];H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\rho'_n \to u_t$  in  $\mathbb{C}([t_0,t_1];L^2(\Omega))$ . Equality (15) obviously holds for  $\rho_n(\cdot)$ . Using Lemma 3 and boundness of  $\begin{pmatrix} \rho_n \\ \rho'_n \end{pmatrix}$  in  $\mathbb{C}([t_0,t_1];E)$  we can apply Lebesgue's theorem and obtain (15) by passing to the limit in the same identify for  $\rho_n$ . Lemma is proved.

**Lemma 5.** Under conditions (3)  $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall T > \tau \quad \forall \varphi_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tau} \\ v_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \in E$ problem (1), (2) has at least one solution on  $(\tau, T)$ . Moreover, for each solution  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_{t}(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$  of problem (1) on  $(\tau, T)$  the functions  $(u_{t}(\cdot), u(\cdot))$ ,  $V(\cdot, \varphi(\cdot))$ ,  $I(\cdot, \varphi(\cdot))$  belong to  $\mathbb{C}^{1}(\tau, T)$  and  $\forall t \in (\tau, T)$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(t,\varphi(t)) = -\gamma |u_t(t)|^2 + \left(F_t'(t,\varphi(t)),1\right), \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u_t(t), u(t)) = |u_t(t)|^2 - \gamma(u_t(t), u(t)) - ||u(t)||^2 - (f(t, u(t)), u(t)), \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}I(t,\varphi(t)) = -\gamma I(t,\varphi(t)) + H(t,\varphi(t)).$$
(18)

**Proof.** We construct solution of (1),(2) using the Faedo-Galerkin method. Let  $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a complete system of functions in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $u_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^{(m)}(t)\omega_i$  be the Galerkin approximation, satisfying the following ordinary differential system

differential system

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\left(u_m,\omega_j\right) + \gamma \frac{d}{dt}\left(u_m,\omega_j\right) + \left(\left(u_m,\omega_j\right)\right) + \left(f(t,u_m),\omega_j\right) = 0, \ j = 1,...,m$$
(19)

with the initial conditions

$$u_m(\tau) = u_{\tau}^m, \quad u_m'(\tau) = v_{\tau}^m,$$

where  $u_{\tau}^{m} \to u_{\tau}$ ,  $m \to \infty$  in  $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ ,  $v_{\tau}^{m} \to v_{\tau}$ ,  $m \to \infty$  in  $L^{2}(\Omega)$ . Local existence of  $u_{m}(\cdot)$  is obvious. Existence on  $[\tau, T]$  will be guaranteed by following a priori estimates:

$$(u''_m, u'_m) + \gamma |u'_m|^2 + ((u_m, u'_m)) + (f(t, u_m), u'_m) = 0,$$
  
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ u'_m \right|^2 + \left\| u_m \right\|^2 + 2(F(t, u_m), 1) \right\} + 2\gamma |u'_m|^2 - 2(F'_m(t, u_m), 1) = 0$$

From this equality and (13) we deduce that  $\forall t \ge \tau$ 

$$\begin{aligned} |u'_{m}(t)|^{2} + ||u_{m}(t)||^{2} &\leq C_{3} \bigg( |u'_{m}(\tau)|^{2} + ||u_{m}(\tau)||^{2} + ||u_{m}(\tau)||^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} + 1 + \\ &+ \int_{\tau}^{t} (\alpha(s) + \beta(s)) \Big( |u'_{m}(s)|^{2} + ||u_{m}(s)||^{2} \Big) ds \bigg), \end{aligned}$$

$$(20)$$

where constant  $C_3 > 0$  depends only on  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , C > 0,  $n \ge 3$ . Using Gronwall inequality, we obtain:

$$|u'_m(t)|^2 + ||u_m(t)||^2 \le C_3 \left( |u'_m(\tau)|^2 + ||u_m(\tau)||^2 + ||u_m(\tau)||^2 + ||u_m(\tau)||^2 + ||u_m(\tau)||^2 \right)$$

ISSN 1681–6048 System Research & Information Technologies, 2006, № 2

114

$$+ \|u_m(\tau)\|^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} + 1 \bigg) e^{\int_{\tau}^{t} (\alpha(s) + \beta(s)) ds} .$$
 (21)

From (21) we deduce that  $\begin{pmatrix} u_m \\ u'_m \end{pmatrix}$  is bounded in  $L^{\infty}(\tau, T; E)$ .

So we can extract a subsequence, still denoted m, such that

$$u_m \to u$$
 in  $L^{\infty}(\tau, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  weak – star,  
 $u'_m \to u_t$  in  $L^{\infty}(\tau, T; L^2(\Omega))$  weak – star.

Thanks to a classical compactness theorem

$$u_m \to u$$
 in  $L^2(\tau, T; L^2(\Omega))$  strongly.

Hence on some subsequence  $u_m(t,x) \rightarrow u(t,x)$  a.e. and so  $f(t,u_m(t,x)) \rightarrow f(t,u(t,x))$  a.e. From (21)  $\{u_m(t)\}$  is bounded in  $L^{\infty}(\tau,T;H_0^1(\Omega))$ , so  $\{f(t,u_m(t))\}$  is bounded in  $L^2(\tau,T;L^2(\Omega))$ . Then in a standard way we obtain  $f(t,u_m(t)) \rightarrow f(t,u(t))$  in  $L^2(\tau,T;L^2(\Omega))$  weakly. It allows us to pass to the limit in (19) and find that  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \in L^{\infty}(\tau,T;E)$  and satisfies (4). Thus  $\varphi(\cdot)$  is a solution of (1),  $\varphi(\cdot) \in \mathbb{C}([\tau,T];E)$ . Moreover, as  $\{u''_m\}$  is bounded in  $L^2(\tau,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))$ , from compactness theorem we have

$$\forall t \in [\tau, T] \ u_m(t) \to u(t) \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega),$$
  
$$\forall t \in [\tau, T] \ u'_m(t) \to u_t(t) \text{ weakly in } H^{-1}(\Omega)$$

and, again applying (21),  $\varphi_m(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_m(t) \\ u'_m(t) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \varphi(t)$  weakly in *E*. In particular,

 $\varphi_m(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tau}^m \\ \upsilon_{\tau}^m \end{pmatrix} \to \varphi(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tau} \\ \upsilon_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } E \text{ and existence is proved.}$ Now let  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$  is an arbitrary solution of (1), (2) on  $(\tau, T)$ .

Since  $f(t, u(t)) \in L^2(\tau, T; L^2(\Omega))$ , from [2] we deduce that in the sense of scalar distributions on  $(\tau, T)$ 

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2}+\left\|u\right\|^{2}=\left(-\gamma u_{t}(t)-f(t,u(t)),u_{t}(t)\right).$$
(22)

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 4 we can obtain in the sense of distributions

$$\langle u_{tt}, u \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} (u_t, u) - |u_t|^2, \qquad (23)$$

115

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the scalar product between  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . From (23) and (1) we have equality (17) in the sense of distributions on  $(\tau, T)$ .

According to  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}([\tau, T]; E)$  we deduce that functions

 $(u_t(\cdot), u(\cdot)), |u_t(\cdot)|^2 + ||u(\cdot)||^2$  belong to  $\mathbb{C}^1(\tau, T)$  and so identities (17), (22) take place in classical sense  $\forall t \in (\tau, T)$ . Then using the result of Lemma 4 and (17), (22) we can easily obtain (16)-(18). Lemma is proved.

**Remark 1.** As  $T > \tau$  is arbitrary, we can state a global resolvebility of (1), (2), that is we say that  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}([\tau, +\infty]; E)$  is a solution of (1), (2), if  $\varphi(\tau) = \varphi_{\tau}$  and  $\varphi(\cdot)$  satisfies (4)  $\forall T > \tau$ .

**Remark 2.** It is easy to see that if (16)-(18) hold, then for each solution  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$  of (1) we can repeat arguments, using in proof of Lemma 5 and ob-

tain (21). Hence, for arbitrary solution  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$  of (1), for which  $\|u(\tau)\|^2 + |u_t(\tau)|^2 \le R$ , we have

$$\forall t \ge \tau \qquad \left\| u(t) \right\|^2 + \left| u_t(t) \right|^2 \le K(R), \tag{24}$$

where constant K(R) > 0 depends only on constants R > 0,  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , C > 0,  $n \ge 3$ and values of  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(t) dt$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta(t) dt$ .

**Main results.** For every  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g'_t \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma$  we consider the problem  $(1)_{\sigma}$ ,  $(2)_{\sigma}$ .

In view of Lemmas 1, 5 for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tau} \\ v_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \in E$  the problem  $(1)_{\sigma}$ ,  $(2)_{\sigma}$  has at least one solution on  $(\tau, +\infty)$  and for all solutions of  $(1)_{\sigma}$ ,  $(2)_{\sigma}$  the equalities (16)–(18) take place, if we change V, I, H on  $V_{\sigma}$ ,  $I_{\sigma}$ ,  $H_{\sigma}$  respectively.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\varphi_n(\cdot)$  be a solution of  $(1)_{\sigma_n}$ , where  $\sigma_n = \begin{pmatrix} g_n \\ g'_n \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$ in  $\Sigma$  and  $\varphi_n(\tau) \rightarrow \varphi_{\tau}$  weakly in E.

Then  $\forall T > \tau \ \forall t \in [\tau, T] \ \varphi_n(t) \to \varphi(t)$  weakly in E, where  $\varphi(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma}$ ,  $\varphi(\tau) = \varphi_{\tau}$  and  $(F_{\sigma_n}(t, u_n(t)), 1) \to (F_{\sigma}(t, u(t)), 1), (F'_{\sigma_n}(t, u_n(t)), 1) \to (F'_{\sigma}(t, u(t)), 1) \quad (f_{\sigma_n}(t, u_n(t)), u_n(t)) \to (f_{\sigma}(t, u(t)), u(t))$  where  $f_{\sigma_n} := g_n$ ;  $f_{\sigma} := g$ . **Proof.** Thanks to Lemma 1, (16)-(18) and boundness of  $\{\varphi_n(\tau)\}$  in E we can in the same way as in Lemma 5 obtain for  $\varphi_n(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u_n(\cdot) \\ u'(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$ :

$$\forall t \ge \tau \|u_n(t)\|^2 + |u'_n(t)|^2 \le C_3 \left( |u'_n(\tau)|^2 + \|u_n(\tau)\|^2 + \|u_n(\tau)\|^2 + \|u_n(\tau)\|^2 + \|u_n(\tau)\|^2 \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\alpha(t) + \beta(t)) dt.$$
(25)

So using the compactness theorem we can extract a subsequence such, that

$$\varphi_n \to \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } L^{\infty}(\tau, T; E) \text{ weak } - \text{star},$$
  

$$\varphi_n(t) \to \varphi(t) \text{ in } E \text{ weakly } \forall t \in [\tau, T],$$
  

$$u_n \to u \text{ in } L^2(\tau, T; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ strongly}$$
  

$$u_n(t, x) \to u(t, x) \text{ a.e.}$$
(26)

From Lemma 1 and (25)  $\{g_n(t, u_n)\}\$  is bounded in  $L^2(\tau, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . According to convergence  $\sigma_n \to \sigma$  in  $\Sigma$  we have  $\forall R > 0$ 

$$\sup_{\in [\tau,T]} \sup_{|v| \le R} |g_n(t,v) - g(t,v)| \to 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

Hence  $g_n(t, u_n(t, x)) \to g(t, u(t, x))$  a.e. and from Lions Lemma we obtain  $g_n(t, u_n) \to g(t, u)$  in  $L^2(\tau, T; L^2(\Omega))$  weakly. It allows us to pass to the limit in (4), wrote for  $\varphi_n(\cdot)$ , and we deduce that  $\varphi(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot) \\ u_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma}$ ,  $\varphi(\tau) = \varphi_{\tau}$ .

Now we prove that  $\forall t \in [\tau, T]$   $(F_{\sigma_n}(t, u_n(t)), 1) \rightarrow (F_{\sigma}(t, u(t)), 1)$  (other statements can be proved by similar arguments). Firstly  $F_{\sigma_n}(t, u_n(t, x)) \rightarrow$  $\rightarrow F_{\sigma}(t, u(t, x))$  for a.a.  $x \in \Omega$  and from Lemma 1 and (13)  $|F_{\sigma_n}(t, u_n(t, x))| \leq$  $\leq C_1 \left(1 + |u_n(t, x)|^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}\right)$ . As  $\forall t \in [\tau, T]$  $\int_{\Omega} |u_n(t, x) - u(t, x)|^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} dx = \int_{\Omega} |u_n(t, x) - u(t, x)| \cdot |u_n(t, x) - u(t, x)|^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dx \leq$  $\leq |u_n(t) - u(t)| \cdot ||u_n(t) - u(t)||^{\frac{n}{n-2}}$ ,

and  $u_n(t) \to u(t)$  in  $L_2(\Omega)$  strongly, from (25) we deduce that  $|u_n(t,x)|^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} \to |u(t,x)|^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . So we can apply Lebesgue theorem and obtain that  $\forall t \in [\tau,T] \; F_{\sigma_n}(t,u_n(t,x)) \to F_{\sigma}(t,u(t,x))$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Lemma is proved.

**Remark 3.** From Lemma 6 we have that  $\forall t \in [\tau, T]$   $H_{\sigma_n}(t, \varphi_n(t)) \rightarrow H_{\sigma_n}(t, \varphi(t))$  and the following estimate holds:

$$\sup_{t\in[t,T]} \left| H_{\sigma_n}(t,\varphi_n(t)) \right| \le C_5,$$
(27)

where constant  $C_5 > 0$  dependes only on  $C_4$  from  $\|\varphi_n(\tau)\| \le C_4$ .

**Theorem.** Under conditions (3), (6) the family of maps, constructed in (8), is a strict family of  $MP\{U_{\sigma} : \mathbb{R}_d \times E \to P(E)\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ , for which there exists an invariant global attractor in the phase space E.

**Proof.** Let us prove that the family (8) satisfies Definition 2 with equalities in 2), 3). Condition 1) is obvious. Let  $\xi \in U_{\sigma}(t, \tau, \varphi_{\tau})$ . Then  $\xi = \varphi(t), \varphi(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma}$  on  $(\tau, +\infty)$ ,  $\varphi(\tau) = \varphi_{\tau}$ . Then  $\forall s \in [\tau, T] \ \varphi(s) \in U_{\sigma}(s, \tau, \varphi_{\tau})$ . We put  $\psi(p) = \varphi(p), \ p \ge s$ . Then  $\psi(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma}$  on  $(s, +\infty), \ \psi(s) = \varphi(s)$ . So  $\xi = \psi(t) \in U_{\sigma}(t, s, \varphi(s)) \subset U_{\sigma}(t, s, U_{\sigma}(s, \tau, \varphi_{\tau}))$ .

Let  $\xi \in U_{\sigma}(t, s, U_{\sigma}(s, \tau, \varphi_{\tau}))$ . Then  $\xi \in U_{\sigma}(t, s, \eta)$ ,  $\eta \in U_{\sigma}(s, \tau, \varphi_{\tau})$ . Hence  $\xi = \varphi(t)$ ,  $\varphi(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma}$  on  $(s, +\infty)$ ,  $\varphi(s) = \eta$ ,  $\eta = \psi(s)$ ,  $\psi(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma}$  on  $(\tau, +\infty)$ ,  $\psi(\tau) = \varphi_{\tau}$ . We put  $\theta(p) = \begin{cases} \psi(p), p \in [\tau, s] \\ \varphi(p), p > s \end{cases}$ . Then  $\xi = \varphi(t) = \theta(t)$ ,  $\theta(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma}$  on  $(\tau, +\infty)$ ,  $\theta(\tau) = \psi(\tau) = \varphi_{\tau}$ . Thus  $\xi \in U_{\sigma}(t, \tau, \varphi_{\tau})$ 

Let  $\xi \in U_{\sigma}(t+h,\tau+h,\varphi_{\tau})$ . Then  $\xi = \varphi(t+h)$ ,  $\varphi(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma}$  on  $(\tau+h,+\infty)$ ,  $\varphi(\tau+h) = \varphi_{\tau}$ . We put  $v(p) = \varphi(p+h)$ ,  $p \ge \tau$ . Then  $v(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{T(h)\sigma}$  on  $(\tau,+\infty)$ ,  $v(\tau) = \varphi_{\tau}$ , so  $\xi = v(t) \in U_{T(h)\sigma}(t,\tau,\varphi_{\tau})$ 

Let  $\xi \in U_{T(h)\sigma}(t,\tau,\varphi_{\tau})$ . Then  $\xi = \varphi(t)$ ,  $\varphi(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{T(h)\sigma}$  on  $(\tau,+\infty)$ ,  $\varphi(\tau) = \varphi_{\tau}$ . We put  $v(p) = \varphi(p-h)$ ,  $p \ge \tau + h$ . Then  $v(\tau+h) = \varphi_{\tau}$ ,  $v(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma}$  on  $(\tau+h;+\infty)$ , that is  $\xi = v(t+h) \in U_{\sigma}(t+h,\tau+h,\varphi_{\tau})$ . So,  $\{U_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$  is a strict family of MP.

Now we verify conditions 1)–3) of Lemma 2. From estimate (24) with  $\tau = 0$  we immediately obtain property (9).

Let  $\xi_n \in U_{\sigma_n}(t,0,\eta_n)$ ,  $\xi_n \to \xi$ ,  $\eta_n \to \eta$  in *E*. Since  $\Sigma$  is compact, we can claim  $\sigma_n \to \sigma$  in  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\xi_n = \varphi_n(t)$ ,  $\varphi_n(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma_n}$ ,  $\varphi_n(0) = = \eta_n \to \eta$ . From Lemma 6 we deduce that  $\forall s \ge 0 \quad \varphi_n(s) \to \varphi(s)$  weakly in *E*, where  $\varphi(s) \in U_{\sigma}(s,0,\eta)$ . Thus  $\xi_n = \varphi_n(t) \to \varphi(t) = \xi \in U_{\sigma}(t,0,\eta)$  and property 2) is proved.

To finish the proof we should check the property (10). Let  $\xi_n \in U_{\sigma_n}(t_n, 0, \eta_n)$ ,  $\eta_n \in B \in \beta(E)$ ,  $t_n \to \infty$ ,  $\sigma_n \to \sigma$ . Then  $\xi_n = \varphi_n(t_n)$ ,  $\varphi_n(\cdot)$  is solution of  $(1)_{\sigma_n}$ ,  $\varphi_n(0) = \eta_n$ . Using (24) we have that  $\{\varphi_n(t_n)\}$  is bounded in E. Hence there exists  $\theta \in E$  such that on some subsequence  $\xi_n = \varphi_n(t_n) \to \theta$  weakly in E. In the same way  $\forall M \ge 0 \quad \varphi_n(t_n - M) \to \theta_M$  weakly in E.

ISSN 1681–6048 System Research & Information Technologies, 2006, № 2

Moreover  $\forall t \ge 0 \quad \varphi_n(t_n - M + t) \in U_{\sigma_n}(t_n - M + t, t_n - M, \varphi_n(t_n - M)) =$ =  $U_{T(t_n - M)} \sigma_n(t, 0, \varphi_n(t_n - M))$ . It follows that  $\varphi_n(t_n - M + t) = v_n(t), v_n(\cdot)$  is a solution of  $(1)_{T(t_n - M)} \sigma_n, v_n(0) = \varphi_n(t_n - M)$ . Since  $\tilde{\sigma}_n := T(t_n - M) \sigma_n \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}$  in  $\Sigma$ , from Lemma 6 we obtain that  $\forall t \ge 0 \quad v_n(t) \rightarrow v(t)$  weakly in E, where  $v(t) \in U_{\tilde{\sigma}}(t, 0, \theta_M)$ . In particular,  $v_n(M) = \xi_n \rightarrow v(M) = \theta \in U_{\tilde{\sigma}}(M, 0, \theta_M)$  weakly in E.

From equality (18) writed for  $v_n(\cdot)$  we have  $\forall t \ge 0$ 

$$I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(t,\nu_n(t)) = I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(0,\nu_n(0))e^{-\gamma t} + \int_0^t e^{\gamma(p-t)}H_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(p,\nu_n(p))dp$$

and with t = M

$$I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(M,\xi_n) = I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(0,\nu_n(0))e^{-\gamma M} + \int_0^M e^{\gamma(p-M)}H_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(p,\nu_n(p))dp$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(M, \xi_n) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(0, \nu_n(0)) e^{-\gamma M} +$$

$$+ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{0}^{M} e^{\gamma(p-M)} H_{\widetilde{\sigma}_{n}}(p, \nu_{n}(p)) dp.$$
(28)

Thanks to (24)  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(0, \nu_n(0)) \le C_6$ , where constant  $C_6 > 0$  does not depend on *n* and *M*. Moreover, from Remark 3 we conclude that

$$M_{\rm eff}$$
 ( ) Moreover, from Remark 5 we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{0}^{M} e^{\gamma(p-M)} H_{\widetilde{\sigma}_{n}}(p, \nu_{n}(p)) dp = \int_{0}^{M} e^{\gamma(p-M)} H_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(p, \nu(p)) dp.$$
(29)

If we write equality (18) for function  $v(\cdot)$  and for t = M, then

$$I_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(M,\nu(M)) = I_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(0,\nu(0))e^{-\gamma M} + \int_{0}^{M} e^{\gamma(p-M)}H_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(p,\nu(p))dp.$$
(30)

Moreover, if we denote  $\nu(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega(\cdot) \\ \omega_t(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(M, \xi_n) \geq$   $\geq \frac{1}{2} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|\xi_n\|_E^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} (\omega_t(M), \omega(M)) + (F_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(M, \omega(M)), 1). \tag{31}$ 

From (28)-(31) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left\| \xi_n \right\|_E^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} (\omega_t(M), \omega(M)) + (F_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(M, \omega(M)), 1) \leq \\ \leq C_6 e^{-\gamma M} - I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(M, \nu(M)) - I_{\widetilde{\sigma}_n}(0, \nu(0)) e^{-\gamma M} =$$

$$=C_{6}e^{-\gamma M}-I_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(0,\nu(0))e^{-\gamma M}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\theta\right\|_{E}^{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}(\omega_{t}(M),\omega(M))+(F_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(M,\omega(M)),1).$$

So

$$\frac{1}{2} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left\| \xi_n \right\|_E^2 \leq C_6 e^{-\gamma M} - I_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \left( 0, \nu(0) \right) e^{-\gamma M} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \theta \right\|_E^2.$$
(32)

From (24)  $\|\varphi_n(t_n - M)\|_E^2 \leq K(B)$ , where constant K(B) > 0 does not depend on n, M. As  $\varphi_n(t_n - M) \rightarrow \theta_M$  weakly in E, we have  $\|\theta_M\|_E^2 \leq$  $\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|\varphi_n(t_n - M)\|_E^2 \leq K(B)$ . Since  $\theta_M = \nu(0)$ , then we can pass to limit in (32) for  $M \rightarrow \infty$  and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left\| \xi_n \right\|_E^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left\| \theta \right\|_E^2$$

In view of weak convergence  $\xi_n$  to  $\theta$  in E we have inverse inequality, so  $\xi_n \rightarrow \theta$  strongly in E. Theorem is proved.

## REFERENCES

- Babin A.V., Vishik M.I. Attractors of evolution equations. Moscow: Nauka, 1989. — 284 p.
- Temam R. Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics. New York: Springer, 1997. — 615 p.
- Chueshov I.D. Introduction to the theory of infinite-dimensional dissipative systems. — Harkiv: ACTA, 1999. — 415 p.
- Kapustyan O.V., Melnik V.S. Attractors of multivalued semidynamical systems and their approximations // Report of NAS Ukraine. — 1998. — № 1. — P. 21–25.
- Kapustyan O.V., Valero J. Attractors of multivalued semiflows generated by differential inclusions and their approximations // Abstract and Applied Analysis. — 2000. — 5, № 1. — P. 33–45.
- 6. *Ball J.M.* Continuity properties and attractors of generalized semiflows and the Navier-Stokes equations // Nonlinear Science. 1997. № 7. P. 475–500.
- Ball J.M. Global attractors for damped semilinear wave equations // Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. — 2004. — 10. — P. 31–52.
- 8. Viskik M.I., Chepyzhov V.V. Attractors of non-autonomous dynamical systems and their dimension // J.Math. Pures Appl. 1994. 73, № 3. P. 279–333.
- Viskik M.I., Chepyzhov V.V. Evolution equations and their trajectory attractors // J. Math. Pures Appl. — 1997. — 76, № 10. — P. 913–964.
- Kapustyan O.V. Global attractors of non autonomous reaction-diffusion equation // Differential Equations. — 2002. — 38, № 10. — P. 1378–1382.
- Kapustyan O.V., Melnik V.S., Valero J. Attractors of multivalued dynamical processes generated by phase-field equations // Int. J. Biff. and Chaos. — 2003. — 13, № 7. — P. 1969–1983.

Received 05.10.2005

ISSN 1681–6048 System Research & Information Technologies, 2006, № 2

From the Editorial Board: The article corresponds completely to submitted manuscript.