

УДК 517.9

**METHOD OF APPROXIMATION OF EVOLUTIONARY
INCLUSIONS AND VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES BY
STATIONARY**

P.O. KASYANOV, V.S. MEL'NIK, L. TOSCANO

The method of finite-difference approximations, advanced by C. Bardos and H. Brezis for the nonlinear evolutionary equations, is generalized on differential-operational inclusions which are tightly connected to evolutionary variational inequalities in Banach spaces.

INTRODUCTION

At studying of nonlinear evolutionary equations the some spread methods are used: Faedo-Galerkin, singular perturbations, difference approximations, nonlinear semigroups of operators and others [1, 2]. The dissemination of these approaches on evolutionary inclusions and variational inequalities encounters a series of basic difficulties. The method of nonlinear semigroups of operators in Banach spaces was developed for evolutionary inclusions in works of A.A. Tolstonogov [3], A.A. Tolstonogov and J.I. Umanskij [4], V. Barbu [2] and others. A method of singular perturbations H. Brezis [5] and Yu. Dubinskiy [6] on evolutionary inclusions have disseminated in A.N. Vakulenko's and V.S. Mel'nik works [7–9], a method of Galerkin's approximations in P.O. Kasyanov's works [10, 11].

In the present work the attempt to disseminate a method of difference approximations [1] on evolutionary inclusions and variational inequalities is undertaken for the first time.

PROBLEM FORMALIZATION

Let Φ be separable locally convex linear topological space; Φ' be the space identified to topologically conjugate to Φ space such, that $\Phi \subset \Phi'$; (f, φ) is the inner product (canonical pairing) of devices $f \in \Phi'$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$.

Let the three spaces V , H and V' are given, moreover

$$\Phi \subset V \subset \Phi', \quad \Phi \subset H \subset \Phi', \quad \Phi \subset V' \subset \Phi' \quad (1)$$

with continuous and dense embedding;

H is a Hilbert space (with inner product $(h_1, h_2)_H$ and corresponding norm $\|h\|_H$);

V be reflexive separable Banach space with norm $\|v\|_V$;

V' is the conjugate to V space with dual norm $\|f\|_{V'}$.

If $\varphi, \psi \in \Phi$, that $(\varphi, \psi) = (\varphi, \psi)_H$ is inner product of devices $\varphi \in V$ and $\psi \in V'$.

Let $V = V_1 \cap V_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_V = \|\cdot\|_{V'_1} + \|\cdot\|_{V'_2}$, where $(V_i, \|\cdot\|_{V_i})$, $i = \overline{1, 2}$ is reflexive separable Banach spaces, embedding $\Phi \subset V_i \subset \Phi'$ and $\Phi \subset V'_i \subset \Phi'$ is dense and continuous. Spaces $(V'_i, \|\cdot\|_{V'_i})$, $i = \overline{1, 2}$ are topologically conjugate to $(V_i, \|\cdot\|_{V_i})$ concerning the bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) . Then $V' = V'_1 + V'_2$.

Let $A: V_1 \rightarrow V'_1$, $\varphi: V_2 \rightarrow R$ be a functional, Λ is non-bounded operator, which operates from V to V' with definitional domain $D(\Lambda; V, V')$. The following problem on searching of solutions by a method of finite differences is considered (see [1, chapter 2.7]):

$$u \in D(\Lambda; V, V'), \quad (2)$$

$$\Lambda u + A(u) + \partial\varphi(u) \ni f, \quad (3)$$

where $f \in V'$ fixed element; $\partial\varphi: V_2 \rightrightarrows V'_2$ is subdifferential from the functional φ (see [13]).

THE BASIC GUESSES

Let us assume, that a set Φ is dense in space

$$(V \cap V', \|v\|_V + \|v\|_{V'}). \quad (4)$$

Remark 1. From (4) it follows, that

$$V \cap V' \subset H. \quad (5)$$

Really, if $v \in \Phi$, that $\|v\|_H^2 \leq \|v\|_{V'} \|v\|_V$ whence, due to (4) it follows (5).

Remark 2. If $V \subset H$, it is possible to not introduce Φ and identifying H and H' , at once receive the following line-up of embeddings:

$$V \subset H \subset V'. \quad (6)$$

Definition 1. The family of maps $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ refers to as a *continuous semigroup* in a Banach space X , if $\forall s \geq 0 \quad G(s) \in L(X; X)$, $G(0) = Id$,

$$G(s+t) = G(s) \circ G(t) \quad \forall s, t \geq 0, \quad G(t)x \xrightarrow{w} x \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0+ \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Operator Λ . Let the family of maps $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ be such that $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ is continuous semigroup on V, H, V' , that is there are three semigroups, defined in spaces V, H , and V' correspondingly, which coincide on Φ . Each of them we shall designate as $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$;

$\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ is non-expanding semigroup in H ,

$$\text{that is } \|G(s)\|_{L(H;H)} \leq 1 \quad \forall s \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

Further let $-\Lambda$ be the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ with a definitional domain $D(\Lambda;V)$ (accordingly $D(\Lambda;H)$ or $D(\Lambda;V')$) in V (accordingly in H or in V'). In virtue of [14, theorem 13.35] such generator exists, moreover, it is densely defined closed linear operator in space V (accordingly in H or in V').

Let $\{G^*(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ be the semigroup conjugated to $G(s)$, which operates accordingly in V, H , and V' . Let $-\Lambda^*$ is the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup $\{G^*(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ with definitional domain $D(\Lambda^*;V)$ in V , $D(\Lambda^*;H)$ in H and $D(\Lambda^*;V')$ in V' . The operator Λ^* in H (accordingly in V or in V') is conjugated in sense of the theory of unlimited operators to the operator Λ in H (accordingly in V or in V'). It takes place the following.

Lemma 1. The sets $D(\Lambda;V') \cap V$ and $D(\Lambda^*;V') \cap V$ are dense in V .

Proof. Really, $\forall u \in V \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \varphi \in \Phi: \quad \|u - \varphi\|_V < \varepsilon, \quad \varphi_n := \left(I - \frac{1}{n}\Lambda\right)^{-1} \varphi \in D(\Lambda;V') \cap V, \quad \varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in V as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The lemma is proved.

Now we define Λ as non-bounded operator, which operates from V to V' with definitional domain $D(\Lambda;V, V')$. Let us put

$$D(\Lambda;V, V') = \{v \in V \mid \text{the form } w \rightarrow (v, \Lambda^* w) \text{ is continuous on } D(\Lambda^*;V') \cap V \text{ in topology, induced from space } V\}. \quad (8)$$

Then there is unique element $\xi_v \in V': (v, \Lambda^* w) = (\xi_v, w)$. If $v \in D(\Lambda;V') \cap V$, that $\xi_v = \Lambda v$. Thus, generally we can put $\xi_v = \Lambda v$, whence

$$(v, \Lambda^* w) = (\Lambda v, w) \quad \forall w \in D(\Lambda^*;V') \cap V. \quad (9)$$

If we enter on $D(\Lambda;V, V')$ the norm $\|v\|_V + \|\Lambda v\|_{V'}$, we receive a Banach space. Let us similarly define space $D(\Lambda^*;V, V')$.

Remark 3. If $V \subset H$, then

$$D(\Lambda;V, V') = V \cap D(\Lambda;V') \quad \text{and} \quad D(\Lambda^*;V, V') = V \cap D(\Lambda^*;V').$$

In case when V does not include in H we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} V \cap D(\Lambda;V') &\text{ dense in } D(\Lambda;V, V'), \\ V \cap D(\Lambda^*;V') &\text{ dense in } D(\Lambda^*;V, V'). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Remark 4. ([1, chapter 2, remark 7.5., 7.6.]).

$$(\Lambda v, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in D(\Lambda; V, V'), \quad (\Lambda^* v, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in D(\Lambda^*; V, V'). \quad (11)$$

Let us enter some new denotations. Let Y be some reflexive Banach space. As $C_v(Y)$ we designate the system of all nonempty convex closed bounded subsets from Y . For nonempty subset $B \subset Y$ we consider the closed convex hull of the given set $\overline{\text{co}}(B) := \text{cl}_Y(\text{co}(B))$. With multi-valued map A it is comparable *upper* $[A(y), \omega]_+ = \sup_{d \in A(y)} \langle d, \omega \rangle_Y$ and *lower* $[A(y), \omega]_- = \inf_{d \in A(y)} \langle d, \omega \rangle_Y$ function of support, where $y, \omega \in Y$. Properties of the given

maps are considered in works [15–17]. Later on $y_n \xrightarrow{w} y$ in Y will mean, that y_n weakly converges to y in space Y .

THE CLASSES OF MAPS

Let us consider the next classes of maps of pseudomonotone type:

Definition 2. Operator $A: V \rightarrow V'$ refers to *pseudomonotone*, if from $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset V$, $y_n \xrightarrow{w} y_0$ in V , and $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A(y_n), y_n - y_0) \leq 0$ it follows, that

$$\exists \{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}:$$

$$\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (A(y_{n_k}), y_{n_k} - w) \geq (A(y_0), y_0 - w) \quad \forall w \in V.$$

Definition 3. The next set:

$$\partial \varphi(v) = \{p \in V' \mid \langle p, u - v \rangle \leq \varphi(u) - \varphi(v) \quad \forall u \in V\}$$

refers to *subdifferential map* form functional $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ in point $v \in V$.

Definition 4. Multi-valued map $A: V \rightrightarrows V^*$ refers to:

1) *λ -pseudomonotone*, if from $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset V$, $y_n \xrightarrow{w} y_0$ in V and $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d_n, y_n - y_0) \leq 0$, where $d_n \in \overline{\text{co}} A(y_n) \quad \forall n \geq 1$ it follows, that it is possible to choose such $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 0} \subset \{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{d_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 0} \subset \{d_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ that

$$\forall w \in V \quad \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (d_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - w) \geq [A(y_0), y_0 - w]_-;$$

2) *bounded*, if A translates arbitrary bounded in V set in bounded in V^* ;

3) *coercive*, if $\|v\|_V^{-1} [A(v), v]_+ \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|v\|_V \rightarrow +\infty$;

4) satisfies *condition* (κ) if the map $V \ni v \rightarrow \|v\|_V^{-1} [A(v), v]_+ \in \mathbf{R}$ is bounded from below on bounded in $V \setminus \bar{0}$ sets, that is

$$\forall D \subset V \setminus \{\bar{0}\} - \text{bounded in } V \quad \exists c_1 \in \mathbf{R}: \frac{[A(v), v]_+}{\|v\|_V} \geq c_1 \quad \forall v \in D.$$

Remark, that the bounded multi-valued maps and monotone multi-valued operators, including subdifferential maps, are satisfying condition (κ) .

Definition 5. Multivalued map $A:V \rightarrow C_v(V^*)$ satisfies *property (M)*, if from $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset V$, $d_n \in A(y_n) \quad \forall n \geq 1: y_n \xrightarrow{w} y_0$ in V , $d_n \xrightarrow{w} d_0$ in V' , $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d_n, y_n) \leq (d_0, y_0)$ it follows, that $d_0 \in A(y_0)$.

Definition 6. Operator $L:D(L) \subset V \rightarrow V^*$ refers to *maximally monotone*, if it is monotone and from $(w - L(u), v - u) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in D(L)$ it follows, that $v \in D(L)$ and $L(v) = w$.

Lemma 2. Let V, W be Banach spaces, densely and continuously embedded in locally convex linear topological space Y , $A:V \rightrightarrows V'$, $B:W \rightrightarrows W'$ — multi-valued λ -pseudomonotone maps and one of them is bound-valued. Then the multi-valued operator $A := A + B:V \cap W \rightrightarrows V' + W'$ is λ -pseudomonotone.

Proof. Let $y_n \xrightarrow{w} y$ in $X := V \cap W$ (that is $y_n \xrightarrow{w} y$ in V and $y_n \xrightarrow{w} y$ in W) and the next inequality is holds:

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_n, y_n - y \rangle_X \leq 0, \tag{12}$$

where

$$d_n \in \overline{\text{co}} A(y_n) = \overline{\text{co}} A(y_n) + \overline{\text{co}} B(y_n). \tag{13}$$

Let us prove the last equality. It is obvious, that $\text{co} A(y_n) = \text{co} A(y_n) + \text{co} B(y_n)$ and, moreover, $\overline{\text{co}} A(y_n) \supset \overline{\text{co}} A(y_n) + \overline{\text{co}} B(y_n)$. Let us prove the inverse inclusion. Let x is a frontier point of $A(y_n)$. Then $\exists \{x_m\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \text{co} A(y_n) = \text{co} A(y_n) + \text{co} B(y_n): x_m \xrightarrow{w} x$ in X as $m \rightarrow \infty$, because of Mazur theorem (see [14]), for an arbitrary convex set its weak and the strong closure is coincide. Hence, $\forall m \geq 1 \quad \exists v_m \in A(y_n), \exists w_m \in B(y_n): v_m + w_m = x_m$ and, taking into account bound-valuedness of one of the maps and Banach-Alaoglu theorem, we obtain, within to a subsequence, $v_m \xrightarrow{w} v$ in V , $w_m \xrightarrow{w} w$ in W for some $v \in \overline{\text{co}} A(y_n)$, $w \in \overline{\text{co}} B(y_n)$. The statement (13) is proved. Consequently $d_n = d'_n + d''_n$, where $d'_n \in \overline{\text{co}} A(y_n)$, $d''_n \in \overline{\text{co}} B(y_n)$. From here, within to a subsequence, we obtain one of two inequalities:

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_n, y_n - y \rangle_V \leq 0, \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_n, y_n - y \rangle_W \leq 0. \tag{14}$$

Without loss of generality, let us consider, that (within to a subsequence) $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_n, y_n - y \rangle_V \leq 0$. Then, due to λ -pseudomonotony of A , $\exists \{y_m\}_m \subset \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$:

$$\underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_m, y_m - v \rangle_V \geq [A(y), y - v]_- \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Let us put in last equality $v = y$, then

$$\underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_m, y_m - y \rangle_V \geq [A(y), y - y]_- = 0.$$

Hence, $\exists \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_m, y_m - y \rangle_V = 0$. Then, due to (12), $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_m, y_m - y \rangle_W \leq 0$. Taking into account (14), λ -pseudomonotony of A and B , we have

$$\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - v \rangle_V \geq [A(y), y - v]_- \quad \forall v \in V,$$

$$\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - w \rangle_W \geq [B(y), y - w]_- \quad \forall w \in W.$$

Then from last two relations it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - x \rangle_X &\geq \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - x \rangle_V + \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - x \rangle_W \geq \\ &\geq [A(y), y - x]_- + [B(y), y - x]_- = [A(y), y - x]_- \quad \forall x \in V \cap W. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

Lemma 3. Let V, W be Banach spaces, densely and continuously embedded in locally convex linear topological space Y , $A: V \rightrightarrows V'$, $B: W \rightrightarrows W'$ are multi-valued coercive maps, which satisfies condition (κ) . Then the multi-valued operator $A := A + B: V \cap W \rightrightarrows V' + W'$ is coercive.

Proof. We obtain this statement arguing by contradiction. Let's assume, that $\exists \{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} : \|x_n\|_X = \|x_n\|_V + \|x_n\|_W \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but $\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{[A(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} < +\infty$.

Case 1. $\|x_n\|_V \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\|x_n\|_W \leq c \quad \forall n \geq 1$;

$$\gamma_A(r) := \inf_{\|v\|_V=r} \frac{[A(v), v]_+}{\|v\|_V}, \quad \gamma_B(r) := \inf_{\|w\|_W=r} \frac{[B(w), w]_+}{\|w\|_W}, \quad r > 0.$$

Remark, that $\gamma_A(r) \rightarrow +\infty, \gamma_B(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $\forall n \geq 1$
 $\|x_n\|_V^{-1} [A(x_n), x_n]_+ \geq \gamma_A(\|x_n\|_V) \|x_n\|_V$ and $\frac{[A(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} \geq \gamma_A(\|x_n\|_V) \times$
 $\times \frac{\|x_n\|_V}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|x_n\|_V \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|x_n\|_W \leq c$.

In this case, due to condition (κ) , $\forall n \geq 1$

$$\frac{[B(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} \geq \gamma_B(\|x_n\|_W) \frac{\|x_n\|_W}{\|x_n\|_X} \geq c_1 \frac{\|x_n\|_W}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{at } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is the constant from condition (κ) . It is clear, that

$$\frac{[A(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} = \frac{[A(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} + \frac{[B(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have an inconsistency with boundedness of the left part of the given expression.

Case 2. The case $\|x_n\|_V \leq c \quad \forall n \geq 1$ and $\|x_n\|_W \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is investigated similarly.

Case 3. Let us consider the situation, when $\|x_n\|_V \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|x_n\|_W \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 +\infty > \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{[A(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} &\geq \gamma_A(\|x_n\|_V) \frac{\|x_n\|_V}{\|x_n\|_V + \|x_n\|_W} + \\
 &+ \gamma_B(\|x_n\|_W) \frac{\|x_n\|_W}{\|x_n\|_V + \|x_n\|_W}. \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious, that $\forall n \geq 1 \quad \frac{\|x_n\|_V}{\|x_n\|_X} > 0$ and $\frac{\|x_n\|_W}{\|x_n\|_X} > 0$. And, if even one of limits, for example $\frac{\|x_n\|_V}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow 0$, that $\frac{\|x_n\|_W}{\|x_n\|_X} = 1 - \frac{\|x_n\|_V}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow 1$. We have an inconsistency with (15).

The lemma is proved.

THE MAIN RESULT

Theorem. Let a) $A: V_1 \rightarrow V'_1$ be bounded pseudomonotone on V_1 operator, which satisfies the following coercive condition:

$$\frac{(A(u), u)}{\|u\|_{V_1}} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|u\|_{V_1} \rightarrow +\infty; \tag{16}$$

b) functional $\varphi: V_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, lower semicontinuous and the following takes place:

$$\frac{\varphi(v)}{\|v\|_{V_2}} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|v\|_{V_2} \rightarrow +\infty; \tag{17}$$

c) The operator Λ satisfies all listed above conditions, including conditions (7) and (10).

Then for every $f \in V'$ there exists such u , that satisfies (2) and (3).

Remark 5. If $V \subset H$, inclusion (2) implies, that $u \in V \cap D(\Lambda; V')$.

Proof. *The approximate solutions.* Natural approximation of inclusion (3) is inclusion

$$\frac{I - G(h)}{h} u_h + A(u_h) + \partial\varphi(u_h) \ni f \quad (h > 0). \tag{18}$$

Though, if V does not include in H (18), generally speaking, has no solutions, and it is necessary to modify the given inclusion in appropriate way. We choose such sequence $\theta_h \in (0,1)$, that

$$\frac{1 - \theta_h}{h} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad h \rightarrow 0. \tag{19}$$

Let us put $\theta_h = 1$ when $V \subset H$. Further, we take

$$\Lambda_h = \frac{I - \theta_h G(h)}{h} \quad (20)$$

and also replace (18) with the inclusion

$$\Lambda_h u_h + A(u_h) + \partial \varphi(u_h) \ni f. \quad (21)$$

Lemma 4. Inclusion (21) has a solution $u_h \in V \cap H$.

Proof. Let us enter the map

$$B = \Lambda_h + A: H \cap V_1 \rightarrow H + V_1'. \quad (22)$$

We consider the following variation inequality:

$$(B(u_h), v - u_h) + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u_h) \geq (f, v - u_h) \quad \forall v \in V \cap H. \quad (23)$$

Let us prove the existence of such $u_h \in V \cap H$, that is a solution of the given inequality. The given statement follows from [15, theorem 7], if to put $V = H \cap V_1$, $W = V_2$, $A = B$, $\varphi = \varphi$ and under condition of realization

Lemma 5. Operator B satisfies to the following conditions:

$$i) \frac{(B(u), u)}{\|u\|_{H \cap V_1}} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|u\|_{H \cap V_1} \rightarrow \infty; \quad (24)$$

$$ii) B \text{ is pseudomonotone on } H \cap V_1; \quad (25)$$

$$iii) B \text{ is bounded on } H \cap V_1. \quad (26)$$

Proof. i) As $G(s)$ is non-stretched on H , then $\forall v \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda_h v, v) &= \frac{1}{h} (v - \theta_h G(h)v, v) \geq \frac{1}{h} (\|v\|_H^2 - \theta_h \|G(s)v\|_H \|v\|_H) \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \theta_h}{h} \|v\|_H^2. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

From here it follows the coercive condition and condition (κ) for Λ_h on H . Thus, due to (2), we can use lemma 3 for maps $A = \Lambda_h$ on $V = H$ and $B = A$ on $W = V_1$, whence it follows (24), if we prove, that A satisfies condition (κ) . Really, if it is not true, then $\exists \{w_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset V_1 \setminus \bar{0}$ such bounded in W , that $\|w_n\|_{V_1}^{-1} [A(w_n), w_n]_+ \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but in virtue of boundedness of A , we have

$$\|w_n\|_{V_1}^{-1} [A(w_n), w_n]_+ = \|w_n\|_{V_1}^{-1} (A(w_n), w_n) \geq -\sup_{n \geq 1} \|A(w_n)\|_{V_1} > -\infty.$$

iii) The boundedness of B on $H \cap V_1$ follows from the boundedness of Λ_h on H and A on V_1 . The boundedness of Λ_h on H immediately follows from the definition of Λ_h and estimation (6).

ii). Let us prove the pseudomonotony of B on $H \cap V_1$. For this purpose we use lemma 2 with $A = \Lambda_h$ on $V = H$ and $B = A$ on $W = V_1$. From here, due to the pseudomonotony and to the property of bound-valuedness of A on V_1 , it is enough to prove pseudomonotony of Λ_h on H . Let

$$y_n \rightarrow y \quad \text{in } H, \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n, y_n - y) \leq 0.$$

Then, from estimation (27) we have

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n, y_n - y) \geq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n - \Lambda_h y, y_n - y) + \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y, y_n - y) \geq 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence $\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n, y_n - y) = 0$. Further, $\forall u \in H, \forall s > 0$ let $w := y + s(u - y)$. Then

$$s(\Lambda_h y_n, y - u) \geq -(\Lambda_h y_n, y_n - y) + (\Lambda_h w, y_n - y) - s(\Lambda_h w, u - y) \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

and

$$s \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n, y - u) \geq -s(\Lambda_h w, u - y) \Leftrightarrow \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n, y - u) \geq -(\Lambda_h w, u - y).$$

Let $s \rightarrow 0+$ then $\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n, y - u) \geq -(\Lambda_h y, u - y) = (\Lambda_h y, y - u)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n, y_h - u) &\geq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n, y_h - y) + \\ &+ \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_h y_n, y - u) \geq (\Lambda_h y, y - u) \quad \forall u \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the required statement.

The lemma is proved.

To complete the proof of lemma 4 it is necessary to show, that for fixed $u_h \in H \cap V_1$ the variation inequality (23) is equivalent to inclusion (22). If $v \in H \cap V_1$ is arbitrary, then, by definition of subdifferential map, the inequality (23) is equivalent to $f - B(u_h) \in \partial\varphi(u_h)$, that in turn, by definition of B , it is equivalent to (22).

The lemma is proved.

The boundary transition on h . From lemma 4 for every $h > 0$ the existence of such $u_h \in H \cap V_1$ and $d_h \in \partial\varphi(u_h)$, that

$$\Lambda_h u_h + A(u_h) + d_h = f. \tag{28}$$

is follows. If we put in (23) $v = \bar{0}$, we obtain

$$(B(u_h), u_h) + \varphi(u_h) \leq (f, u_h) + \varphi(\bar{0}). \tag{29}$$

Let us prove boundedness of $\{u_h\}_{h>0}$ in V as h close to zero. For this purpose we use advantage coercive conditions (16) and (24). Let us assume, that $\|u_h\|_V = \|u_h\|_{V_1} + \|u_h\|_{V_2} \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 1. $\|u_h\|_{V_1} \rightarrow \infty, \|u_h\|_{V_2} \leq c$;

$$\gamma_B(r) := \inf_{\|u\|_{V_1}=r} \frac{(B(u), u)}{\|u\|_{V_1}}, \quad \gamma_\varphi(r) := \inf_{\|u\|_{V_2}=r} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\|u\|_{V_2}}, \quad r > 0.$$

Remark, that $\gamma_B(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\gamma_\varphi(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $\|u_h\|_{V_1}^{-1} (B(u_h), u_h) \geq \gamma_B(\|u\|_{V_1}) \|u\|_{V_1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{V'} \leftarrow \|f\|_{V'} + \frac{\varphi(\bar{0})}{\|u_h\|_V} &\geq \frac{(f, u_h) + \varphi(\bar{0})}{\|u_h\|_V} \geq \frac{(B(u_h), u_h) + \varphi(u_h)}{\|u_h\|_V} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma_B(\|u_h\|_{V_1}) \|u_h\|_{V_1}}{\|u_h\|_V} + \frac{\gamma_\varphi(\|u_h\|_{V_2}) \|u_h\|_{V_2}}{\|u_h\|_V} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma_B(\|u_h\|_{V_1}) \|u_h\|_{V_1}}{\|u_h\|_{V_1} + c} + \frac{\gamma_\varphi(\|u_h\|_{V_2}) \|u_h\|_{V_2}}{\|u_h\|_V} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } \|u_h\|_V \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We have an inconsistency with boundedness of the left part of the given inequality. It is necessary to notice, that last item in a right-side of last inequality tends to zero. It follows from boundedness from below of φ on the bounded sets (see [13]).

Case 2. The case $\|u_h\|_{V_1} \leq c$, $\|u_h\|_{V_2} \rightarrow \infty$ is investigated similarly.

Case 3. Let us consider the situation, when $\|u_h\|_{V_1} \rightarrow \infty$, $\|u_h\|_{V_2} \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$\|f\|_{V'} \leftarrow \|f\|_{V'} + \frac{\varphi(\bar{0})}{\|u_h\|_V} \geq \frac{\gamma_B(\|u_h\|_{V_1}) \|u_h\|_{V_1}}{\|u_h\|_{V_1} + \|u_h\|_{V_2}} + \frac{\gamma_\varphi(\|u_h\|_{V_2}) \|u_h\|_{V_2}}{\|u_h\|_{V_1} + \|u_h\|_{V_2}}. \quad (30)$$

It is obvious, that $\frac{\|u\|_{V_1}}{\|u\|_V} > 0$ and $\frac{\|u\|_{V_2}}{\|u\|_V} > 0$. And, if even one of boundaries, for example, $\frac{\|u\|_{V_1}}{\|u\|_V} \rightarrow 0$, that $\frac{\|u\|_{V_2}}{\|u\|_V} = 1 - \frac{\|u\|_{V_1}}{\|u\|_V} \rightarrow 1$. We have an inconsistency in (30). Thus,

$$u_h \text{ are bounded in } V \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (31)$$

Prove, that

$$d_h \text{ are bounded in } V'_2 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (32)$$

First, from equality (28) we receive:

$$\sup_n (d_{h_n}, u_{h_n}) < \infty \quad \forall \{h_n\} \subset (0, +\infty): h_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (33)$$

Due to $u_h \in H$, from equality (28), estimation (31) and boundednesses of an operator A we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n (d_{h_n}, u_{h_n}) &= \sup_n (f, u_{h_n}) + \sup_n (-A(u_{h_n}), u_{h_n}) + \\ &+ \sup_n (-\Lambda_{h_n} u_{h_n}, u_{h_n}) \leq \|f\|_{V'} \sup_n \|u_{h_n}\|_V + \sup_n \|A(u_{h_n})\|_{V'} \sup_n \|u_{h_n}\|_V < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now, in virtue of (33), we prove (32). From $d_{h_n} \in \partial\varphi(y_{h_n})$ and from definition of subdifferential map, $\forall v \in V_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n (d_{h_n}, v) &\leq \sup_n (d_{h_n}, y_{h_n}) + \sup_n (d_{h_n}, v - y_{h_n}) \leq \sup_n (d_{h_n}, y_{h_n}) + \varphi(v) - \varphi(y_{h_n}) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_n (d_{h_n}, y_{h_n}) + \varphi(v) - \inf_n \varphi(y_{h_n}) < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

as functional φ is bounded from below on bounded sets. From here, under Banach-Steingauss theorem (32) is follows.

From (31) and boundedness of an operator A on V_1 it follows, that

$$A(u_h) \text{ are bounded in } V_1' \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (34)$$

From equality (28), estimates (31), (32) and (34), under Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the existence of such subsequences $\{u_{h_n}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \{u_h\}_{h > 0}$, $\{d_{h_n}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \{d_h\}_{h > 0}$, $\{A(u_{h_n})\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \{A(u_h)\}_{h > 0}$ ($0 < h_n \rightarrow 0$), which further we will designate simply as $\{u_h\}_{h > 0}$, $\{d_h\}_{h > 0}$, $\{A(u_h)\}_{h > 0}$ accordingly, and elements $u \in V$, $\chi \in V_1'$, $d \in V_2$ the next convergences

$$\begin{aligned} u_h \xrightarrow{w} u \text{ in } V \quad A(u_h) \xrightarrow{w} \chi \text{ in } V_1' \quad d_h \xrightarrow{w} d \\ \text{in } V_2' \quad L_h u_h \xrightarrow{w} Lu \text{ in } V' \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

are follows, in particular,

$$v_h := A(u_h) + d_h \xrightarrow{w} \chi + d =: w \text{ in } V'. \quad (36)$$

Let us enter the following map: $C(v) = A(v) + \partial\varphi(v): V \rightarrow C_v(V')$. Now prove, that the given map satisfies property (M). For this purpose it is enough to show λ -pseudomonotony of C on V . If C is λ -pseudomonotone on V and $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset V$, $d_n \in C(y_n) \quad \forall n \geq 1$:

$$y_n \xrightarrow{w} y_0 \text{ in } V, \quad d_n \xrightarrow{w} d_0 \text{ in } V' \text{ and } \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d_n, y_n) \leq (d_0, y_0),$$

then

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d_n, y_n - y_0) \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d_n, y_n) + \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d_n, -y_0) \leq (d_0, y_0) - (d_0, y_0) = 0.$$

Hence, due to λ -pseudomonotony of C it follows, that $\exists \{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, $\{d_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$:

$$\forall w \in V \quad \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (d_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - w) \geq [C(y_0), y_0 - w]_-.$$

From here

$$[C(y_0), y_0 - w]_- \leq \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (d_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - w) \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d_n, y_n - w) \leq$$

$$\leq (d_0, y_0 - w) \quad \forall w \in V.$$

Hence $d_0 \in C(y_0)$. Thus C satisfies condition (M) on V .

In turn, lemma 2, pseudomonotony and bounded-valuedness of A on V_1 provides the last, if to prove λ -pseudomonotony of $\partial\varphi$ on V_2 . As it is known, the last statement follows from [20.III, lemma 2, remark 2].

We use the fact, that C satisfies property (M) on V . Let us take v from $V \cap D(\Lambda^*; V')$. From (28) and (36) it follows, that

$$(u_h, \Lambda_h^* v) + (v_h, v) = (f, v). \quad (37)$$

But

$$\Lambda_h^* v = \frac{I - G(h)^*}{h} v + \frac{I - \theta_h}{h} G(h)^* v \quad (38)$$

and due to (20), $\Lambda_h^* v \rightarrow \Lambda^* v$ in V' ; and consequently, as h tends to zero in (37) we receive:

$$(u, \Lambda^* v) + (w, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in V \cap D(\Lambda^*; V')$$

and (in virtue of (7), (8)) $u \in D(\Lambda, V, V')$

$$\Lambda u + w = f$$

and we prove the theorem, if we show that

$$w \in C(u). \quad (39)$$

On the other hand, because of (28) and (36) for $v \in V \cap D(\Lambda; V') \subset H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (v_h, u_h - v) &= (f, u_h - v) - (\Lambda_h v, u_h - v) - (\Lambda_h(u_h - v), u_h - v) \leq \\ &\leq (f, u_h - v) - (\Lambda_h v, u_h - v), \end{aligned}$$

as $\Lambda_h \geq 0$ in $\Lambda(H; H)$. From here

$$\limsup (v_h, u_h) \leq (w, v) - (f, u - v) - (\Lambda v, u - v) \quad \forall v \in V \cap D(\Lambda; V').$$

But, due to (9), the same inequality is fulfilled $\forall v \in D(\Lambda; V, V')$, and when $v = u$ we obtain

$$\limsup (v_h, u_h) \leq (w, u),$$

and also (39), because of C is the operator of type (M) . The theorem is proved.

Example. Let Ω in \mathbf{R}^n be a bounded region with regular boundary $\partial\Omega$, $S = [0, T]$ be finite time interval, $Q = \Omega \times (0; T)$, $\Gamma_T = \partial\Omega \times (0; T)$. As operator A we take $(Au)(t) = A(u(t))$, where

$$A(\varphi) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right) + |\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi \quad (40)$$

(see [1, chapter 2.9.5]); V is closed subspace in Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p > 1$ such, that

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset V \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega) \tag{41}$$

and

$$V_1 = L_p(0, T; V), \quad H = L_2(0, T; L_2(\Omega)), \quad V_2 = L_2(0, T; L_2(\Omega)).$$

We consider convex lower semicontinuous coercive functional $\psi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and its subdifferential $\Phi: \mathbf{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbf{R}$, that satisfies growth condition.

If we put $V = V_1 \cap V_2$ (from here $V' = L_q(0, T; V^*) + L_2(0, T; L_2(\Omega))$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$), we obtain the situation (6), if $p \geq 2$. At $1 < p < 2$ the common case takes place, if to take $\Phi = D(0, T; V)$ (see [1]).

As an operator Λ we take the derivation operator in sense of space of scalar distributions $D^*(0, T; V^*)$, $D(\Lambda; V, V') := W = \{y \in V \cap H \mid y' \in H + V'\}$

$$G(s)\varphi(t) := \{\varphi(t-s) \text{ at } t \geq s; 0 \text{ at } t \leq s\}.$$

Due to [1, chapter 2.9.5] and to the theorem, the next problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial y(x,t)}{\partial t} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left| \frac{\partial y(x,t)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial y(x,t)}{\partial x_i} \right) + \\ & + |y(x,t)|^{p-2} y(x,t) + \Phi(y(x,t)) \ni f(x,t) \quad \text{a.e. on } Q, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

$$y(x,0) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega, \tag{43}$$

$$\frac{\partial y(x,t)}{\partial \nu_A} = g(x,t) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Gamma_T, \tag{44}$$

has a solution $y \in W$, obtained by finite differences method. Remark, that in (42)–(44): $f \in V'$, $y_0 \in L_2(\Omega)$ are fixed elements.

REFERENCES

1. Lions J.L. Quelques methodes de resolution des problemes aux limites non lineaires. — Paris: DUNOD GAUTHIER-VILLARS, 1969. — 587 p.
2. Barbu V. Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces. — Bucuresti: Editura Acad., 1976. — 346 p.
3. Tolstonogov A.A. About solutions of evolutionary inclusions 1 // Syb. math. journ. — 1992. — 33, № 3. — P. 145–162.
4. Tolstonogov A.A., Umanskij J.I. About solutions of evolutionary inclusions 2 // Syb. math. journ. — 1992. — 33, № 4. — P. 163–174.
5. Brezis H. Problems unilateraux // Ibid. — 1972. — 51. — P. 377–406.

6. Dubinsky Yu.A. Weak convergence in non-linear elliptic and parabolic equations// Math. digest., 67 (109). — 1965. — P. 609–642.
7. Vakulenko A.N., Mel'nik V.S. In topological method in operator inclusions which dense defined mappings in Banach spaces // Nonlinear Boundary Value Probl. — 2000, № 10. — P. 125–142.
8. Vakulenko A.N., Mel'nik V.S. Resolvability and properties of solutions of one class of operational inclusions in Banach spaces // Naukovi visti Nacional'nogo tehnicnogo universitetu Ukraini "Kiivs'kij politehnicnij institute". — 1999. — №3. — P. 105–112.
9. Vakulenko A.N., Mel'nik V.S. About one class of operational inclusions in Banach spaces // Reports NAC of Ukraine. — 1998. — № 5. — P. 24–28.
10. Kasyanov P.O. Galerkin method for the class of differential-operator inclusions with the set-valued map of pseudomonotone type // Naukovi visti Nacional'nogo tehnicnogo universitetu Ukraini "Kiivs'kij politehnicnij institute". — 2005. — № 2. — P. 139–151.
11. Kasyanov P.O. Galerkin's method for one class differentially-operational inclusions // Reports NAC of Ukraine. — 2005. — № 9. P. 20–24.
12. Mel'nik V.S. About operational inclusions in Banach spaces with densely defined operators. // System Research & Information Technologies. — 2003. — № 3. — P. 120–126.
13. Zgurovsky M.Z., Mel'nik V.S., Novikov A. N. Applied methods of the analysis and control of nonlinear processes and fields. — Kyiv: Nauk. dumka, 2004. — 590 p.
14. Rudin W. Functional Analysis. — Tcherepovets: Mercuriy-PRESS, 2000. — 442 p.
15. Zgurovsky M.Z., Mel'nik V.S. Ky Fan inequality and operational inclusions in Banach spaces // Cybernetics and the system analysis. — 2002. — № 2. — P. 70–85.
16. Mel'nik V.S. Multivariational inequalities and operational inclusions in Banach spaces with maps of a class $(S)_+$ // Ukr. math. journ. — 2000. — 52, № 11. — P. 1513–1523.
17. Mel'nik V.S. About critical points of some classes multivalued maps // Cybernetics and the system analysis. — 1997. — № 2. — P. 87–98.
18. Ivanenko V.I., Mel'nik V.S. Variational methods in problems of control for distributed parameter systems. — Kyiv: Nauk. dumka, 1988 — 286 p.
19. Solonucha O. About existence of solutions operationally-differential inclusions and non-stationary inequalities // Reports NAC of Ukraine 2003. — № 4. — P. 25–31.
20. Zgurovsky M.Z., Mel'nik V.S. Method of the penalty for variational inequalities with multivalued maps // Cybernetics and the system analysis: I. — 2000. — № 4. — P. 57–69; II. — 2002. — № 5. — P. 41–53; III. — 2001. — № 2. — P. 70–83.

Received 22.06.2005

From the Editorial Board: The article corresponds completely to submitted manuscript.